

•	•	ξ		Patent and Tra	demark Office SIONER OF PATEN	NT OF COMMERC
٠ ا	SERIAL NUMBER F	LING DATE	FIF	ST NAMED APPLICAN	gton, D.C. 20231	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
06/	618,578 06/08	/84 ROS	INI			-19650
BUŒ	RNAM AND ARCHE	R		7	E	XAMINER
á00	OLD COUNTRY R TE 501			'Al	BIRAMSON, F	
GAR	DEN CXTY, NY 1	1530	•		J.25	PAPER NUMBER
		•			DATE MAILED: ()	1/14/86
	This is a communication fro	m the examiner in (charge of your appli	cation.		
	COMMIS	SIONER OF PATER	TS AND TRADER	ARKS		
A shortene	pplication has been examined d statutory period for respon respond within the period for	se to this action is	set to expire 3		days from the date of t	
Part i	THE FOLLOWING ATTAC	IMENT(5) ARE PAI	RT OF THIS ACTIO	N:		
;			892.	_	nt Drawing, PTO-948. mal Patent Application	n Form PTO 150
	Notice of Art Cited by Appl Information on How to Effect		, PTO-1474	6.	mai Patent Application	n, Form P1U-152
Part II	SUMMARY OF ACTION					
- 211 11	/					
1.	Claims 4				are pend	ing in the application.
	Of the above, claims				are witho	frawn from consideration.
2	Claims 1-13				have bee	n cancelled.
_	Claims					red.
4 🔀	Claimy 14 is				ye rejec	ted.
s. 🗀	Claims				are object	ted to.
6.	Claims			are s	subject to restriction o	or election requirement.
7.	This application has been to matter is indicated.	iled with informal d	rawings which are	acceptable for examination	on purposes until such	time as allowable set in
8.	Allowable subject matter ha	ving been indicated	f, formal drawings a	re required in response t	to this Office action.	
9. [The corrected or substitute not acceptable (see ex		received on	, т	hese drawings are [] acceptable;
10.	The proposed drawing on the proposed drawing of the proposed drawing d					1 on
11. [The proposed drawing corrected Corrected Corrections MU EFFECT DRAWING CHAN	Office no longer mak ST be effected in ac	es drawing change	. It is now applicant's	responsibility to ensu	re that the drawings are
12.	Acknowledgment is made o	f the claim for prior	ity under 35 U.S.C.	119. The certified copy	has been receiv	ed not been received
	been filed in parent ap	plication, serial no	· 	; filed on		

EXAMINER'S ACTION

accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. 🗀 Other

PTOL-326 (Rev. 7 - 82)

 γ_i

Serial No. 618578

Art Unit 125

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Chemical Abstracts 96:52503t, of record, for reasons of record as applied to claim 7, now cancelled.

The reference teaches the claimed compound herein set forth. The determination of optimum proportions of ingredients to $\frac{1}{2}$ is well within the skill of the artisan.

Serial No. 618578 Art Unit 125

Applicants' arguments have been considered; however, they are not persuasive to overcome the art rejection of record for the following reasons:

- 1. The claims language identifying the compound is the identical compound set forth in the reference.

 Applicants' arguments refer to the rejection made with reference to claim 6, now cancelled. That compound which was set forth in cancelled claim 6 is no longer claimed.
- 2. Applicants are claiming compositions, not methods of use. A composition is the same composition regardless of the use set forth. Applicants' arguments that the "reference is void of any teaching of AHBUBP in inhibiting reabsorption" is immaterial. Applicants are not claiming methods of use.

The declaration of September 25, 1985 has been considered; however it is unpersuasive in overcoming the rejection of record in that the declaration is immaterial to the invention as presently claimed for reasons set forth supra. Applicants are not claiming a method of use.

The letter and references attached thereto have been considered and noted; however, they are not persuasive to overcome the rejection for reasons set forth supra.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP 706.07(a).

Art Unit 125

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

A/C 703

557-3920

Abramaonace

12-30-85

ALBERT T. MEYERS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 125